
Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/03 04:36

I've heard comments from the DMG camp regarding the need for more "Time" & "Space" to get Flattrack back on "Course" as a result of the depth in "Dimension" regarding the sport's current "State" of affairs?

Mike Kidd eludes towards an axiom or premise that the problems with our sport are in fact "Multi-Dimensional" with "Boundries" & similtaneously proclaiming that he has seemingly infinite A-priori knowledge with regard to solutions which are of course paradoxal in nature & will approach remedies for next years season understanding that any veiwpoint attacking problems as though they are mutually exclusive one to the other might in fact be short selling the dimensional depth that adversely effects our sport!

Well?

Mike?

I don't know?:unsure:

I think you may be overstating things a bit & maybe reaching into Analitic Philosophy or possibly depending on Euclidean Geo-physical proofs for cause & effect more than flattrack may require.

If you intend to insist a Hypothetical Universe is possibly in play holding back our sport whereby a pragmatic approach would be foolhardy & metaphysics are a more direct approach towards seeking an increase in both fan base & resulting spectator count....

Well, I respectfully disagree:(

Your assertion regarding "Multi-Dimentional Problems" simply does not hold water!

Mike!

Your premise will not allow you any breathing room up or down in dimential shift to the positive or negative? You don't make logical sence in any way shape or form! You might try going off in an orthogonal direction, but then of course you gotta stop when you get to 0 dimensions & then one might conclude there's no more orthogonal directions to remove which is absurb considering the hypothetical negitive!:huh:

You made a comment about "Boundaries" which implies guidelines, which inturn indicates rules, which again eludes to laws & if we are gonna start talking about laws, then I'm going to go right to the freak'n top & defer to "Natural Law" & Go Freak'n Wild On You... through a Dimensional Shift Time Warp Gismo Thing!:woohoo: This shoulda given you a hint right off the freak'n bat... that you can't get to negative dimensions in Flattrack!! These guys are lucky enough to stay within a freak'n circle going forwards & you want to take that away from them? Dude!...These guys got families & stuff... Some of their families even like them!:ohmy: Brass Tacks & Basics before Mike schedules a National on an "Event Horizon" & gets Chris, Jared, Sammy, Kenny & Joe sucked into a "Black Freak'n Hole" compressed into a Squashed Raisin & Getta a Freak'n Raisinette!:ohmy:

A 3Dim. cube has 6 squares bounding it!

A 2Dim. square has 4 lines bounding it!
A 1Dim. line has 2 points bounding it!
Following the pattern,
a 0Dim. point would have 0
(IE) No, Zero, Zip, NADA... lower-Dim. objects bounding it!
MIKE! FOR GOD'S SAKE MAN...WHAT WERE YOU THINKING?:ohmy:

Incidentally, let me also add that "Analogy"
is not an acceptable way of demonstrating the
existence of higher-dimensional spaces.
The 2Dim. to 3Dim. analogy is useful in giving you
clues as to what 4Dim. space might be like,
but it's only a guess until you actually construct
4Dim. space and explore its properties.
For example:
There are no knots in 2Dim. while there are a number of
classes of knots in 3Dim.
See what I mean Mike?...It's so freak'n simple!:woohoo:
You & DMG both...should be embarassed!:blush:
Anyway,
By analogy you might expect even more types of knots in 4Dim.
But... in reality there are no knots in 4Dimentions either!
In any case, all this "Going Fast & Turning Left"
using any "analogy"...
is completely pointless!:angry:
higher-dimensional spaces are well-understood!
What you're talking about here is R^3 ...
(ordinary 3Dim. Euclidean space) and
 R^4 (4d Euclidean space.)!
Indeed it's trivial to actually construct them
at this point because your probably gonna go right ahead &
add as much calcium to the tracks as you want anyway
probably creating an un-warrented rift in time & space
which in turn causes most of our first turn pile ups
but...It is what it is...What A Jerk!:angry:

It would truly be disappointing if this supposed argument
did not get taken seriously by the flattrack community
as I can't believe Mike's attitube towards basic Quantum Physics!:ohmy:
Dale!...Back me up on this Dude!...:blink:

Going back a bit...
this isn't really a "solution" to the original issue
(which is silly in itself.)
All it does is invent a scenario...
(3Dimensional Track a in 4Dimentional universe)
where the supposed problem doesn't exist.
But that's easy...
Let's imagine a 3Dim. universe containing
just a single perfect Flattrack Bike.:kiss:
Or a 3Dim. universe containing 2 perfect Flattrack Bikes,
one Left Harley and one Right Harley
both mirror images of themselves!:kiss: :kiss:
So what?:angry:
If you think it's a "paradox"
that we can imagine a 3Dim. Harley in a 3Dim. universe,
then what difference does it make that we can invent a
different scenario without the supposed paradox?...
See What I Mean Mike?:S
As to the original question, well...
it's just one of many equally 'paradoxical' questions.
For example:
If the first thing in the universe were a CRF450,
would it be stock or a framer?:huh:

If there were Indigenous Illiniosanites and they had invented a written language like Pig-Latin would they write left-to-right or right-to-left?:blink:
If I were a marsupial, would I be platypus from down under or from Illinios & over the top?:huh:
It's important & just does not matter all at the same freak'n time!
See What I Mean?:side:

In fact,
if you have an ordinary positive dimensional space but where some of the coordinates are anticommuting rather than commuting,
(in a limited sense)...
these can be considered as having negative dimensions.
But...
this isn't a "real" negative dimension (in the way that e.g. R^6 really has 6 dimensions)...
it's more like the non-integer "fractal dimension" that people ascribe to fractals.
That is, a few particular formulae that apply to ordinary spaces between dimensions that also apply to Flattrack Bikes & Flattrackers nearly Nationwide other than those mentioned earlier from Illinios & Australia!:ohmy:

On the other hand...
Mike did make some valid points...
I'll give him credit for that...woohoo:
But...Naturally He is in desperate need of correction on some minor issues & mis-calculations....(
I promise to keep it simple & to the point!
First...
There are many problems with Mike's reasoning.
Correct me if I'm wrong but this is how Mike's argument goes...
1) Some proposition P is true for 2Dim. figures in 3Dim. space.
2) P is also true if we increase all the dimensions involved by 1.
Example...(3Dim. figures in 4Dim. space)..
oh! I've got you now Mike!:woohoo:
3) Therefore P must be true if we increase or reduce the dimensions involved by any natural number!...
ya Mike...Bow Your Head In Shame!:S
I'm sure you saw that one coming...CHECKMATE DUDE!:huh:
Mike...I'm in no way fixed on this subject & would be more than happy to discuss in further detail even though I KNOW I'M RIGHT & YOUR FREAK'N WRONG!:woohoo:
Anyway...Back to my point...
Some of proposition P is true for 2Dim. figures in 3Dim. space.
This formulation ignores the distinction between qualia-type propositions, relative propositions & and belies a logical-positivist bias, (limiting formal logic to truth value probabilities)
Certainly Mike...you can agree this is a long standing bias that has neglected Metaphysics altogether, for the abstract nature of the discipline is not suited to structures of metalinguistics!:dry:

I think when it's put plainly like that, this is clearly nonsense.
If you want a demonstration...
just note that we can derive all sorts of ridiculous things with this reasoning!
Example:... $n=2$ is a positive number.
If we increase n by 1, then $n=3$ is also positive.
By your reasoning above...then,

we can keep decreasing n &
it will always still be positive!

I would agree,
the argument you outline above is nominally weak
(though not invalid)
but this is YOUR argument...Not Mine &
MAY GOD HAVE MERCY ON YOUR SOUL!:ohmy:

Ok, let's talk about the "Front Fender Issue"
Absolute Nonsense!:angry:
Nonsense is a word I usually reserve for an argument without merit,
or empirical or rational foundation.
You are clearly aware of some of the issues raised here,
but I'm disappointed you lack the ability to form
ideogenetic responses beyond this nonsense
but that would raise an argument on meta-language and language &
certainly not what this thread is all about
so why in the hell did you bring up Front Fenders in the first place
other than to simply evade the issue at hand whatever that might be...
I'm on to you now Mike!...I didn't just fall out of the van yesterday
especially if Suzy were half naked in the back!:kiss: :kiss: :kiss:
DO YOU TAKE ME FOR A FOOL?:huh:
In your opinion perhaps...:silly:
As you have presented the argument,
one might, as you have, conclude that it is nonsense
(which I take to mean that the argument is problematic)
or do you insist it's invalid?
But it doesn't represent a structure I would use,
nor did I feel that I initially pursued!
Mike you subtly expresses autologous contradictions
that you may derive from this thread...
Labelling it 'clearly nonsense' which undermines your
assertion of verisimilitude with the syllogisms buried
in this thread...
In other words...SCREW YOU AND YOUR DAMN FENDERS!:angry:
let's just get down to brass tacks & DMG!:ohmy:
P1: A premise is proposed,
that the congruity of enantiomorphic pairs,
can be affirmed to represent the illusion of differentiation &
allow for their 'rotation' through $n+1$ dimensions.
P2. That given P1,
when applied to 2Dim. incongruous counterparts,
the incongruous nature of these objects can be
dismissed as representing 'qualia'
(not things) in themselves,
observers bounded by 2Dim. space must accept axiomatically
that incongruous counterparts,
reveal different qualia through their incongruity in Flattrack &
it's Racing Community notwithstanding it's Ambidextrous Universe,
that positioned it in a 4th dimension of space.
To give some pointers on what the issues are here...
P3. Incongruous counterparts (ICs) in 3d space
(eg – enantiomorphic polyhedrons)
could be made to 'intelligibly' collapse into a deep cushion
causing congruent similitude, and therefore share...
(all except absolute space-vector) "qualia"...
while remaining entirely unchanged to the 3Dimensional observer
in the pits, for whom left and right are fundamental properties
of the localised space they inhabit at the track,
I do not by induction,
expect their broken right Harley to displace itself
into a broken left Harley simply because
the latest Metaphysics colloquium has determined
a 4th dimension of space wherein left and right &

up and down, mysteriously disappear as qualia leading to the inevitable debate of differentiation & arguably persuade through logic that spatial extension is in fact an illusion of the "Bererkleyian" type of...
"Solipcism" (a lonely mode of thought) whereby we... are all living in a computer generated simulation or "FLATTRACK MATRIX" predicated preferably to accept some P1, P2, Pn probabilities on quantum computing's processing limits & our ability or desire to generate an artificial reality as just some simulation of a National rather than the real deal!:blink:
P4, the process of rotating a 3Dimensional object such as... an RS750 through 4Dimensional space is not a... "simple" abstract process that is a empirically-derived perception that can be engaged!
An extended 4th dimension of space that allows for 3Dimensional enantiomorphicity to be revealed as illusory & is not readily acceptable to the imagination Mike!
Many necessary features of extended 3D space require symmetry & this is where I feel Mike & DMG are missing the boat!:angry:
Positioning non-experiential features of reality, though acceptable theoretically makes relational assumptions that can but be based on experiential experience of relational features observed between empirical datum whereby causing alot of our Air-Fence deflation troubles & or "Soft Spots"!:angry:
The assumption that the relationship of the theoretical 4D to experiential 3D is not equivalent, nor even similar to that which exists between 3D and 2D, or if it is...
Then one might easily conclude Mike & or DMG are proceeding to make truth statements about 4Dimensional space & I find it difficult to accept any additional premise based clearly on Matrix oriented assumptions!:angry:
I Got's To Draw The Line Somewhere!:ohmy:
Dimensions are qualitatively related... such that truth statements about theoretical dimensions below 0, hold equi-ideoglyphic reality as a positive integer dimension of space above 3 is just plain freak'n obvious & Mike just don't see it...:huh:
or...maybe he don't want to see it...hmmmm?:unsure:
I hate to say this but...
Truth values that describe 4D space, are either as equally valid as Truth statements made about -1D & such statements derive their logic by super-imposing the interdimensionally-relative so you can plainly see why... empirically-derived Euclidian-geometric epistemology works for Mike & all his DMG cronies!...AhHa!!! I got them on the run now!!!:ohmy:
This is a fairer representation of just one of my various DMG hypotheses that I'm suggesting in this thread. In reality, what I'm trying to do is of course win an... argument by induction...Sweet!:woohoo:
Of course? Somewhat presumptuous but certainly... Mike has no idea who he's fool'n with...THE DARTMAN COMETH!:kiss:
Opps...:blush:
Back to Induction...
Sorry...I get sidetracked sometimes...:woohoo:
Let me critique, by deferring to Human induction, what I believe Mike is attempting to do to Flattrack Racers! Over this past season & clearly at Peoria it became obvious & leads me to believe that you are 'closed' to the methodologies of rationalism. If you truly mistrust induction, you're life must be quite daunting.:dry:
Your belief in the possibility of a non-rational supervention of the laws of physics, logic etc by a new & unrelated order beset by hyper-contra-chronological realities,

should instill a suspicion of inductive reasoning &
clearly anything other than the above &
most certainly not a rejection of the obvious
which was oddly not the case...was it...Mike!...ahHa again!:woohoo:
Did you catch my drift....?
You Know What I'm Talking About...Don't Play Dumb With Me!:woohoo:

What you need to do...to get it to work out...
is to show the induction step in the right direction.
If you can show that for any positive integer D,
given a space of dimension D,
you can create a bigger viable space of dimension D+1!
Then you've shown that spaces of all positive integer dimension exist!
Ain't that cool how it all works out so nicely!:woohoo:
To get the negative dimensions it's a little harder...:ohmy:
You need to do the induction step in the opposite direction,
Just show that you can always construct a space of lower dimensions &
PRESTO!...You Got It!:huh:
Short Track is a very different thing,
it's not possible in any sensible way...
You might try taking it off an orthogonal direction,
but then you obviously stop when you get to 0 dimensions
or there's no more orthogonal directions to remove
but who am I kidding...you guys already knew that...:silly:
I was just thinking about Short Track out loud...sorry:blush:
Anyway Mike...

These are your criteria,
orthogonal axes to our experienced three are...
no more 'real' or logically describable than the
negative dimensions that necessarily accompany truth claims
about super-tertiary space.
I am not, and have not argued for the non-existence of
super-tertiary dimensions,
but rather what truth claims can be made of them...
Please don't think I'm picking on DMG by expressing my thoughts...:P
You have already ascribed an orthogonal relationship with 3D,
which must be accompanied by the existence of at least -1d...
so you can plainly see that...We're Cool...& no harm intended...B)
I see no reason why 4d, 5d, nd etc must be inductively reasoned
before any discussion on the problems of absolute vs relative space
can begin but that obviously will have to wait until
Pomona next year!:woohoo:
Note that your own dialogue about boundaries should have given
you a hint you can't get negative dimensions &
it appears as though you just shot yourself in the foot!:ohmy:
Did you honestly think I would miss that?:unsure:
Do I have to reiterate...
A 3D cube has 6 squares bounding it...
A 2D square has 4 lines bounding it...
A 1D line has 2 points bounding it...
A 0d point would have 0 dimensional objects bounding it...
Come On Mike....
This Is Basic Freak'n Flattrack 101!B)
You had better re-read this again & think about what I'm saying!:ohmy:
It's the Basic Descent of Dimensional Relationships For God's Sake!
3d cube = 6 2d 'limits'
2d plane = 4 1d limits
1 dimensional line = 2 x 1d limit
0 d = 1 x -1 limit
You have simply inserted an arbitrary conclusion to the pattern,
Incidentally, let me also add that "analogy"
is not an acceptable way of demonstrating the existence of...
higher-dimensional spaces!
In Summary...
Mike...

I'm just trying to help with next years program & if my calculations are correct which are based on sound ideas & methods passed down by the early boardtrackers Issy Newton, Franky Leibniz, Iggy Kant & Freddy Einstein... my cosmology theories, epistemological theories, M-theories & inflationary theories should be pretty accurate...B)

I'm currently working on a Reverse Engineering Project that involves a Vincent Black Shadow GNC Ready Flattracker that Operates Using "Dark Matter" as a Power Source!
Is a 32mm restrictor ok?:ohmy:

Seeya Art The(Did Not Head To The Light...He Went Past It)Dart:blink:
:woohoo: :woohoo: :woohoo: :woohoo: :woohoo: :woohoo: :woohoo:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by 150r357 - 2009/11/03 04:52

ummm.. yea what he said:blink:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/03 05:08

I just re-read that!

As wierd as that was...
I consider it my CROWNING ACHEIVEMENT & Funnest & Stupidest thing I ever wrote!

I've been reading some strange books since baseball ended...:ohmy:

I do miss Southpark alot
but Brenda said...
I gotta move on to something new:(

Rocket Science is Cool!:woohoo:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Lineaweaver - 2009/11/03 05:18

Imagine if you will.....
A lonley isolated Quanta known as X.

A Quanta among thousands has a perceived value less then that of the isolated Quanta known as X.

Pissing in the Ocean is a good example.

The inverse would be..... Big Fish / Little Pond.
I.E. "It's My Sand Box Now".

Duh! :huh:

Dale

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Chew and family - 2009/11/03 05:26

I have had FAR too few cups of coffee today.

Either that, or Art forgot to carry the Y as the subequivalent value in his theorem.

No, I'm pretty certain I just haven't had enough coffee.

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Darren Carter - 2009/11/03 05:50

Being a racer in flattrack I thought all I had to do was go around the track and beat other riders. But now I have no idea what I am supposed to do, thanks art.

Darren Carter 43p

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by TJ66 - 2009/11/03 05:56

Darren Carter wrote:

Being a racer in flattrack I thought all I had to do was go around the track and beat other riders. But now I have no idea what I am supposed to do, thanks art.

Darren Carter 43p

LMAO - DUDE! don't listen to Art...he'll F* you all up...Go fast, turn left...unless you're on a tt.

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/03 06:03

I'm sorry...

I spend entirely too much time

in the tub with electrical appliances!:woohoo:

or maybe the basement with pvc glue?:ohmy:

In any case...

I Got Mike Really Good!:laugh:

Don't think for a second that was an easy post to write...

My Brain Hurts:(

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Darren Carter - 2009/11/03 06:19

Art or TJ are either of you going to be at Duquoin Sat. I'm still confused from this post and I'm going to need some assistance getting around that circle. It's been about 8 weeks since I circled and I think a quick sit down before practice will help. lol

Darren Carter

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Lineaweaver - 2009/11/03 06:34

Darren Carter wrote:

Art or TJ are either of you going to be at Duquoin Sat. Im still confused from this post and im going to need some assistance getting around that circle. It been about 8 weeks since I circled and I think a quick sit down before practice will help. lol

Darren Carter

<http://galletas.blogia.com/upload/20060727023721-bill-y-ted.jpg>

This should help:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xrGWooNDPiE>

Warp space and / or time and you need not move a muscle to cross the checkered ahead of the green.

Dale

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Chew and family - 2009/11/03 06:42

For the love of all things flat track... DON'T GET ART STARTED ON CENTRIFICAL FORCE and THE PRINCIPLES OF MOMENTUM!!!!:S

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by ascotgregory - 2009/11/03 06:54

I think I'll take the day off now.

GT

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Chew and family - 2009/11/03 07:00

Way to go, Art. See what you did???

:S :silly: :dry: :woohoo:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Crume - 2009/11/03 07:01

I'll tap-out on that one...WOW!

As for your "CROWNING ACHEIVEMENT", I think maybe Crown (and Coke) had something to do with it...

Stay away from the glue Art!

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Tor - 2009/11/03 07:17

Dang, Art, I think I finally get it!!!!

Only one question:

When you mention fenders are you talking about fenders on dirt bikers, or talkin' about the hair slicked back on the side of my head?????

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Bultacoslider - 2009/11/03 07:40

art the dart wrote:

I'm sorry...

I spend entirely too much time

in the tub with electrical appliances!:woohoo:

or maybe the basement with pvc glue?:ohmy:

In any case...

I Got Mike Really Good!:laugh:

Don't think for a second that was an easy post to write...

My Brain Hurts:(

Signor de Dart, If you think it was hard to write, you have no concept of how hard it was to read.. There is a saying I'm looking for???? Oh I remember it now KISS.. Works for me, most of the time..

Glad to hear that you are still kickin..

:S

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Bultacoslider - 2009/11/03 07:46

Chew and family wrote:

For the love of all things flat track... DON'T GET ART STARTED ON CENTRIFICAL FORCE and THE PRINCIPLES OF MOMENTUM!!!!:S

Neither of those have anything to do with Winning, winner violate most rules anyway :-D

:S

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by getta20m - 2009/11/03 08:02

<http://i734.photobucket.com/albums/ww350/flattrack20m/einsteinshow.jpg>

:huh:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by turn4don - 2009/11/03 08:16

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by TylerP - 2009/11/03 09:26

that's it, i'm loading up the van, heading to st. louis and putting art in a "home". this has all gone on long enough. I will report my findings at a later date

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/03 09:42

Dudes!

I'm trying to get a job at DMG here & your all messing it up!

Hey...

While I was off-line this afternoon, I started fooling around with Brenda's I-Robot Roomba vacume-sweeper-guy & was able to modify his electronics just enough to turn this puppy into a hovercraft!

Brenda got all pissy that he doesn't get low enough to vacume the floor anymore, But once I showed her how well he gets cobwebbs off the ceiling & cleans her drapes...she chilled the hell out!

I'm gonna work on a "Secret Chip" for Dales BMW for Springfield next year! If I get it right, we'll shatter lap records in between mandatory pre-programed beer runs!

Again, everyone has got it all backwards! Dale's misguided focus all these years has been concern over... "when it comes on the pipe" My focus will be... "How can we get this puppy off the pipe?"

Dale sure does seem to have a problem with... "THE PIPE"I aims to solve this once & for all!:woohoo:

oppss...

Mike, Dale & "The Pipe" ... all in one thread...

did I do that?:huh: :blink: :blush: :ohmy:

Seeya:laugh:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by freak50 - 2009/11/03 09:44

I get what he is saying. Dirt Track is one dimension. We need to think out of that and open it up.:laugh:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Lineaweaver - 2009/11/03 09:55

art the dart wrote:

Dale sure does seem to have a problem with..."THE PIPE"

<http://i109.photobucket.com/albums/n77/thesnake0420/marijuana.jpg>

Good Gawd Man!

I live in the San Francisco Bay Area!

Everyone here has a problem with "The Pipe".

5D? No Problem. :laugh:

Dale

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by freak50 - 2009/11/03 09:58

Hell yeah Dale is on the pipe how do you think he gets all of is ideas. Plus he is always thinking everyone is going to get him. lol

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/03 10:00

Tyler!

Where have you been?

oh...

let me guess...

Out "There" Doing Good Deeds

For Your Fellow Man & Crap Like That!

How many times I gotta tell you...

Me Them You We Us....

THEY ARE ALL ASSHOLES!

I wouldn't even help myself if I had a freak'n choice

but I'm always thinking of myself first &

looking out for #1 so...

I suspect I'm just like you afterall...

helping helping helping...ME!:woohoo:

My New Years Resolution is gonna be

about turning over a new leaf &

Telling Myself To Go To Hell

The Next Time I Want Something
For & From Myself!

My point being...Anyone can be an Ass...
But The Perfect Ass?...That Takes Commitment!

Seeya Art:P

(someone is gonna use "Commitment" against me for sure):angry:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by TylerP - 2009/11/03 12:16

art, i've been sitting here in kentucky waiting for my phone to ring. i thought i was going to get a call about a job in st. louis...but instead i just keep getting calls from people like henry wiles, jared mees, sammy halbert, brad baker, JD Beach, Jeffrey Carver, Johnny Murphree and JR Schnabel....i'm miserable. friggin name droppers...

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/03 15:14

I'm curious?

Do those guys talk as fast as they ride?
I've heard Carver say "Pizza" pretty damned fast!:woohoo:

I'm waiting for Mike to break "The Code of DMG Silence":)
I never really know for sure if they think it's funny
or if I should start locking my doors at night?:ohmy:

I chuckled all day long after that one:)

Seeya...beddybye:side:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Jerry57G - 2009/11/04 00:49

Um Er, Ah did I miss the part about the longest post award? I got caught by a large family of quarks and couldn't get free.

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Bultacoslider - 2009/11/04 01:27

art the dart wrote:
I'm curious?

Do those guys talk as fast as they ride?
I've heard Carver say "Pizza" pretty damned fast!:woohoo:

I'm waiting for Mike to break "The Code of DMG Silence":)
I never really know for sure if they think it's funny
or if I should start locking my doors at night?:ohmy:

I chuckled all day long after that one:)

Seeya...beddybye:side:

Me thinks you'll need to be an Energizer Bunny on that one Art, just keep on waiting, and waiting...

:laugh:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by hopper54p - 2009/11/04 03:32

i just read Art's post
and well MY HEAD HURTS:(

Art
my friend, buddy, pal, compadre, amigo, sometimes i think you write things just to see if people actually read them:dry:
in which case well.....you got me. i read the whole thing and the main thought i had was holy shit this must have taken
him an hour to type with your classic one finger typing method. i figure you must have that index finger worn down to the
first knuckle:ohmy:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/04 04:58

Brian
Are you kidding me?
Spell-Check was a freak'n hour!

Brenda went to Golds Gym at 7am &
I only kinda remember saying goodbye
to her at about 8:15(delayed responce)!
She came back at about noon &
I was just about done with the thread!
I've been plowing thru Stephen Hawking
since baseball let out & can't seem
to put his stuff down...
That Dude has got his "Shit Together"!
I gotta read his stuff twice just for
warm ups to read it the third time
to prepare a freak'n clue for
the forth time!

I had no idea where I was going with it
untill it was done!
I've got a strong background in
Locke, Hume & Kant but this guy is
is tuff reading...Big Time!
What's kinda scary is most of what
I put down is somewhat accurate &
actually starts to make sence after awhile?
I need that like a hole in the head!:woohoo:

Hey!...I was talking to Trevor
on the phone while typing this!
He must be like you...
only has time to talk on the phone &
play on the computer when at work!:laugh:

seeya:)

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by hopper54p - 2009/11/04 05:10

no kidding!

Trevor called me at work today too.

i bet he had the same conversation with you as he did with me

starting with "hey i am trying to trick my wife into letting me go to duquoin, you want to go?"

am i warm?

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/04 06:50

Right On The Money!

I got the whole freak'n

"Trick Angie" saga!

I was eating a steak for lunch &

started slitting my wrists!

You know it's gonna backfire on him &

then next he'll be selling his Honda

to buy her a diamond something while

he's begging her to...

"Please Take Me Back...It Was Brian & Art's Idea!"

Brian...

your not married...let me splain it to you...

I am smart enough to know...

Your Walking A Tight Wire Wearing A Tight Leash!

Trevor...

on the other hand...just don't seem to get it!

If You Don't Walk The Tight Wire Just The Way They Like It

A Tight Leash Is Only A Tug Away From Being A Tight Noose!:ohmy:

in other words...stay single:woohoo:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by hopper54p - 2009/11/04 07:00

so what your saying is.....you have the bike fired up and are in for duquoin?:)

i figure if Angie is anything like Trevor and myself she is probally sitting at work reading this thread. :blush:

which would make Trevor a cooked goose so to speak:)

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by AugieRacing30 - 2009/11/04 09:16

Guys you have it all wrong I am not trying to trick Angie I am simply trying to work the angles. If Art would just hire Hopper and me to be a race team and I could actually make money instead of donating every week to this racing business would be so much easier. Its funny you said how I am probably going to sell my Honda to buy her a ring because my first 450 I had to sell so we could get her braces. I had to work angles for almost three more years to get

another one! If you feel bad for poor Angie I will sale my 450 and you can give me yours that has been stuck in the attic for the last two years. lol I'm with Dale on not liking Art and Hopper much anymore! I don't know why you pick on us two so much! And by the way don't be confusing my buddy and rider Darren anymore I need him to make some mains this year and now he doesn't know which way to turn in a circle.

Trevor (the newly hired gun on Arts lost 450)
AugieRacing
KD Racing

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/04 14:41

AugieRacing30
"Guys you have it all wrong...
I am not trying to trick Angie
I am simply trying to work the angles"...Trevor

Brian!
Am I reading this right?
I think Trevor needs a Geometry lesson!:woohoo:

Here We Go Again!
Trevor...
There was this dude called Isosceles &
he was hanging out with the three Earp-imesdes brothers
Wyatt-imesdes, Virgil-imesdes & Morgan-imesdes!
They were feuding with three other brothers
Athos-imesdes, Portho-imesdes & Aramis-imesdes
near modern day Tomb-Stonia in the OKCoralidite...
Pretty soon Isosceles came to the realization
that he was pretty much stuck between these two other
equil sides & formed the now famous...Isosceles Triangle &
the rest is history!
Trevor has got an angle?...I got a real confidence problem!:woohoo:
...ah forget it!:P

Seeya Art The(St Louis Arch-imesdes Screw-Ball)Dart:laugh:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by TylerP - 2009/11/04 16:21

art, trust me, if i had a job, i would call you from said job and tell you about playing games on my computer. man, i miss those days

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/05 00:38

Tyler!
If I had a job...
I would ignore your guys calls!:woohoo:

Come to think of it...
I spend as much time at Brian & Trevors jobs
as they do...I'm starting to recognize
background noise on the phone!

I'm pretty sure I could do their jobs
except...
then I wouldn't be home to answer my phone
when I call myself from work...
That was a "Catch 22" in my day!:woohoo:

Seeya:)
I do have work for you whenever
I close on this building next door
later in the month...
I'll keep you posted!

In the mean time...
You could be my secretary &
SCREEN MY CALLS!:laugh:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by hopper54p - 2009/11/05 02:41

TylerP wrote:

art, trust me, if i had a job, i would call you from said job and tell you about playing games on my computer. man, i miss those days

hey i dont play games:angry: but i want to:)
i do however get PAID to play with motorcycles:P

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by hopper54p - 2009/11/05 02:47

art the dart wrote:

Tyler!

If I had a job...

I would ignore your guys calls!:woohoo:

Come to think of it...

I spend as much time at Brian & Trevors jobs
as they do...I'm starting to recognize
background noise on the phone!

I'm pretty sure I could do their jobs
except...
then I wouldn't be home to answer my phone
when I call myself from work...
That was a "Catch 22" in my day!:woohoo:

Seeya:)
I do have work for you whenever
I close on this building next door
later in the month...
I'll keep you posted!

In the mean time...

You could be my secretary &
SCREEN MY CALLS!:laugh:

being the perv that i am

i would pick a really hot secretary that liked to wear low cut tops. which reminds me.....does brenda want a part time job during the off season? i might be able to talk the boss into letting me have a secretary:woohoo:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/05 07:15

To be graphicly honest...
I've been thinking all morning about
the Alien Chic in the French Maid Outfit
treating me like dirt after the end of the world!

I wonder if my baby sitter abused me as a child or something?:blink:

I Sure Hope So!:woohoo:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by hopper54p - 2009/11/05 07:20

art the dart wrote:
To be graphicly honest...
I've been thinking all morning about
the Alien Chic in the French Maid Outfit
treating me like dirt after the end of the world!

I wonder if my baby sitter abused me as a child or something?:blink:

I Sure Hope So!:woohoo:

awe shucks i have never been that lucky. i did however spend half the morning ogling(mines not broken or lost) getta and her twin sister until she made me feel bad about it:(i think she must be an alien hot, fast and smart not to mention that there are 2 of her. thats just to much going on to be human:lol:

=====

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/05 15:14

Hey Brian!

Keep this under your helmet!
I just heard another rumor that I started
about how Getta is having problems keeping her pants on!

She trying to feed everybody some bullshit story about
zipper problems...
I ain't gonna listen to that for a second!
Primarily because it messes up the fantasy!:woohoo:

Man Brian...if...
Door #1...I were 30 years younger!
Door #2...If I still had my Little Ogle!
Door #3...If Brenda weren't so Damned Happy
My Little Ogle is in a jar by the bed & finally
leaves her alone!
Bonus Door #4...If I could go back in time...say 30 years &
BLOW UP THE BLACK & DECKER TOOL FACTORY!:angry:

oh ya #4 is my door!

Seeya:laugh:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by getta20m - 2009/11/05 23:08

art the dart wrote:
Hey Brian!

Keep this under your helmet!
I just heard another rumor that I started
about how Getta is having problems keeping her pants on!

She trying to feed everybody some bullshit story about
zipper problems...
I ain't gonna listen to that for a second!
Primarily because it messes up the fantasy!:woohoo:

Man Brian...if...
Door #1...I were 30 years younger!
Door #2...If I still had my Little Ogle!
Door #3...If Brenda weren't so Damned Happy
My Little Ogle is in a jar by the bed & finally
leaves her alone!
Bonus Door #4...If I could go back in time...say 30 years &
BLOW UP THE BLACK & DECKER TOOL FACTORY!:angry:
oh ya #4 is my door!

Seeya:laugh:

:ohmy: :S

http://www.flatrack.com/index.php?option=com_fireboard&Itemid=46&func=view&id=71521&catid=3&limit=12&limitstart=12

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simp

Posted by art the dart - 2009/11/06 00:17

See What Mike Has Gone & Done Now?

Getta is pissed off at me?
Mia will be pissed at me next?
Brian will probably be pissed at me?
(because he doesn't want to miss the fun)
Wayne's gonna be pissed at me beause everyone's pissed?

It's called the "Ripple Effect" ...
Mike tossed a pebble in the water and....(see what I mean)

Anyway,
Thank God I got Mike to be my
"Perfect Fall Guy"
to get me outa this "Getta Dilema"! :woohoo:

Re:Mike Kidd...What a Jerk!..The answer is so simple!

Posted by Chew and family - 2009/11/06 09:35

I think you've been a naughty boy, Art, and I think I know what your motive is.
Therefore, I have elected to dole out your discipline in the only means that seems both fair and just.
You are not allowed to have a spanking, and the non-existent spanking will not come from Getta while she is donning
nothing but a black leather bikini and stiletto heels.
FURTHERMORE...
You have been grounded from all ogling privileges. Don't try to plead for sympathy, Art. Brenda has told us how you still
sneak into the jar and play with your ogle when no one's looking... usually while reading Extraterrestrial Housekeeping
magazines and Babysitter's Club books.
Now, take your punishment like the man you are! :)

=====